arXiv:1602.02566v1 [hep-ph] 8 Feb 2016

On a Possible Stationary Point in High-Energy Scattering

V.A. Petrov and A.P. Samokhin
Institute for High Energy Physics,
"NRC Kurchatov Institute, Protvino", RF

Abstract

We discuss a curious observation: at energies from the ISR and up to the LHC, inclusively, the differential cross-section of elastic proton-proton scattering remains almost energy-independent at the transferred momentum $t \approx -0.21\text{GeV}^2$ at the level of $\approx 7,5$ mb/GeV^2. The latter value can be considered as a prediction for $d\sigma/dt$ at 13 TeV. We also obtain a lower bound for the forward pp slope at 13 GeV.

1 Hints at the Stationary Point

It is well and long ago known that at $-t < 0.15$ GeV^2 the pp differential cross-section grows with energy. On the contrary, at $-t > 0.3$ GeV^2 they clearly decrease[1]. Recent LHC data confirm these both trends.

This circumstance may impose a speculation that there could exist between these two regions of t a point t_* where differential cross-section doesn't change with energy at all. The stationary points of the differential cross-sections were discussed *en passant* many years ago in Refs. [2],[3] but didn't find any conceptual development. We, thus, assume that there exists a fixed (energy independent) point t_* where

$$d[(d\sigma/dt)(s,t_*)]/ds \approx 0$$



Figure 1: Energy evolution of the differential cross-section of elastic pp scattering at fixed values of transferred momenta. The values of $-t$ go down as: 0.1 GeV^2, 0.15 GeV^2, 0.21 GeV^2, 0.25 GeV^2, 0.3 GeV^2.

at "high enough energies". The thorough inspection of the available data shows that such a point seems to exist indeed. At Fig.1 the energy evolution of $d\sigma_{pp}/dt$ at various fixed values of t is presented.

Fig.1 bears an evidently qualitative character. We believe, however, that it demonstrates our point quite well. Certainly, the exact value of the stationary point t_*, which we believe at the moment is near -0.21 GeV^2, may slightly change after more detailed analysis. As we can see below, the effect in question is possible only for the processes with asymptotically growing cross-sections. That is why we have considered the data starting from the ISR where σ_{tot}^{pp} begin to grow.

Formally, it is easy to invent a model amplitude which exhibit such a property. Let us consider a single Regge-pole amplitude of the form $\beta(t)s^{\alpha(t)}$. If the Regge-trajectory $\alpha(t)$ intersect the line $\alpha = 1$ at some negative t_* then the differential cross-section defined by such an amplitude is stationary at

$t = t_*$. This is possible for the Pomeron trajectory only with $\alpha(0) > 1$. However, there are arguments that the Pomeron trajectory is non-linear and never intersects the level $\alpha = 1$ at negative t [4]. Sure, the genuine scattering amplitude is much more complicated than this simplistic model. Notwithstanding the plethora of "realistic" models which describe the data with varied success, we estimate the differential cross-section at the stationary point with help of a simple quasi-classical expression

$$d\sigma_{pp} = 2\pi r dr.$$

Uncertainty relations imply that

$$r \approx 1/2q,\ q = \sqrt{-t}.$$

Hence, we get the estimate of the differential cross-section at the stationary point

$$d\sigma_{pp}/dt\ |_{t=t_*} = \pi/4t_*^2.$$

After having inspected the available data we choose, as a trial value of t_* , the value -0.21 GeV^2. Then

$$(d\sigma_{pp}/dt)(s, t_*) \approx 7\,\mathrm{mb/GeV^2}.$$

It is bizarre that such a primitive evaluation lies so close to the data which we estimate at the level $\approx 7,5\,\mathrm{mb/GeV^2}$ [5]-[8] (c.f. Fig.1).

2 Estimate of the Forward Slope at 13 TeV

Let us rewrite the differential cross-section in the form

$$(d\sigma_{pp}/dt)(s, t) = (d\sigma_{pp}/dt)(s, t = 0)\exp[\beta(s, t)],$$

where

$$\beta(s, t) \doteq \int_0^t dt' B(s, t')$$

and

$$B(s, t) = d(\ln[(d\sigma_{pp}/dt)(s, t)])/dt$$

is the local slope. Existence of the stationary point means that at $t = t_*$, which we take as before at -0.21 GeV^2

$$(d\sigma_{pp}/dt)(s, t_*) = (d\sigma_{pp}/dt)(s, t = 0) \exp[\beta(s, t_*)] = 7.5\,\mathrm{mb/GeV^2}.$$

This quantity is, by our assumption, energy independent therefore the growth of $(d\sigma_{pp}/dt)(s, t = 0)$ is to be compensated by the decrease of $\beta(s, t_*)$. Taking use of the mean value theorem we have

$$\beta(s, t_*) = t_* B(s, \tilde{t}), \tilde{t} \in [t_*, 0].$$

We obtain

$$B_{pp}(s, \tilde{t}) = \ln[(d\sigma_{pp}/dt|_{t=0})/(d\sigma_{pp}/dt|_{t=t_*})]/(-t_*),$$

or

$$B_{pp}(s, \tilde{t}) = 9.5 \ln[0.08\, \sigma_{tot}^{pp} \sqrt{1 + \rho^2}],$$

where $\rho = \mathrm{Re}T_{pp}(s, 0)/\mathrm{Im}T_{pp}(s, 0)$.

To estimate $B_{pp}(s = (13\,\mathrm{TeV})^2, 0)$ we take use of the parametrization suggested in [9] which gives

$$\sigma_{tot}^{pp} \approx 110.0 \text{ mb}$$

at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV and $\rho \approx 0.14$.

From these values we can envisage that

$$B_{pp}(s = (13 \text{ TeV})^2, 0) \geq B_{pp}(s = (13 \text{ TeV})^2, \tilde{t}) \approx 20.8 \text{ GeV}^{-2}.$$

3 Discussion

We have shown, at a tentative level, that it is quite probable that there exists a stationary, energy independent value $t = t_* \approx -0.21$ GeV^2 where the value of $(d\sigma_{pp}/dt)(s, t_*)$ remains constant with the energy growth. We have some reasons to believe that one another stationary point lies near -2 GeV^2 . It seems natural to investigate other channels : $p\bar{p}, \pi p, Kp$. At the moment we have no physical explanation of the phenomenon considered above.

4 Acknowledgements

We are grateful to V.V. Ezhela and A.V. Kisselev for help and fruitful discussions.

References

[1] G. Giacomelli. Phys. Rep. **23C** (1976) 191.

[2] V. Barger, J. Luthe and R.J.N. Phillips. Nucl. Phys. **B88** (1975) 237.

[3] G.G. Arushanov, E.I. Ismatov, V.G. Arushanov, M.G. Sattarov and A. Yulchiev. Ukr. Phys. J. (in Russian) **28** (1983) 498.

[4] A.A. Godizov and V.A. Petrov. JHEP **0707** (2007) 083.

[5] E. Nagy *et al.* Nucl. Phys. **B150** (1979) 221.

[6] U. Amaldi and K.R. Schubert. Nucl. Phys. **B166** (1980) 301.

[7] A. Breakstone *et al.* Nucl. Phys. **B248** (1984) 253.

[8] G. Antchev *et al.* (TOTEM Collaboration). EPL **101** (2013) 21002.

[9] J.R. Cudell *et al.* (COMPETE Collaboration) Phys. Rev. Lett. **89** (2002) 201801.